Case 1:07-cv-04370-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 of 11	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)	
EDGAR LUGO AND MINERVA LUGO	DOCKET NO.	
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	
- against -	MASTER COMPLAINT	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,		
Defendants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Heller 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints fo	rstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, r all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.	
NOTICE	OF ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an '\(\subseteq\)' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.		
Plaintiffs, EDGAR LUGO AND MINERVA GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, con		
I. PAI	RTIES	
A. PLAI	NTIFF(S)	

1. citizen of Ne	✓ Plaintiff, EDGAR LU ew York residing at 32 Hillcr	`	ured Plaintiff"), is an individual and a NY 10308
(OR)			
2.	Alternatively, □	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
		, ,	

Cas	se 1:07-cv-04370-AKH Document	1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. York residing Injured Plaint	at 32 Hillcrest Street, Staten Island, NY iff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times her EDGAR LUGO, and brings the injuries sustained by her husbar	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 7 10308-, and has the following relationship to the derein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff is derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff EDGAR LUGO.	
	w York, Inc. as a Splicer at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated	
F	Please be as specific as possible when fil	lling in the following dates and locations	
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) ( <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)  From on or about _9/12/2001_ until _10/10/2001_; Approximately _12_ hours per day; for		The Barge  From on or about;  Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
	y <u>30</u> days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
☐ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office  From on or about until,  Approximately hours per day; for  Approximately days total.		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
☐ The Fresh From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	Kills Landfill  out;  y hours per day; for  y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
	l l	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	above;  ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	<u>-</u>	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	the site(s) indicated above;  ✓ Other: Not yet determined.		
	-		

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$ , the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

## B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION ☑ DECUTEL ENVIRONMENTAL INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	<u> </u>

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

## Case 1:07-cv-04370-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spelization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers J; ☑ Contested, b	furisdiut the	iction, (or);  Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lia	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to establish the second se		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		<b>V</b>	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
		П	Other:

## Case 1:07-cv-04370-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Respiratory Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 9/19/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 9/19/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Other Injury: Fatigue Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.  2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:			
==== V	Pain and suffering		

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

Please read this document carefully.

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

Loss of the enjoyment of life

earning capacity

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

rehabilitation

Other:

Loss of earnings and/or impairment of

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Edgar Lugo and Minerva Lugo

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

March 6, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:  UNITED STATES DISTR SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	
EDGAR LUGO (AND WIFE, MINERVA	Lugo),
- against -	Plaintiff(s)
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	
	Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED	O COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & Attorneys for: Plain Office and Post Office Addre 115 Broadway - 12th New York, New York (212) 267-3700	ntiff(s) ess, Telephone n Floor x 10006
To Attorney(s) for	
	by admitted.
Attorney(s) for	
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:  \[ \begin{align*} \text{NOTICE OF ENTRY} \\ \text{that the within is a (certified) true copy of duly entered in the office of the clerk of th} \] \[ \begin{align*} \text{NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT} \\ \text{that an order} \\ \text{will be presented for settlement to the HO} \\ \text{judges of the} \]	ne within named court on20
within named Court, at on20 at Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER	M. EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP